# Multidecadal Climate Variability

# Signal Propagation across the Northern Hemisphere

How Something is Viewed Determines What Can be Seen!





How well can we understand a system by "viewing" only its parts?

A network's ultimate expression is not merely a sum total of its parts.



### **Viewing Climate as a Network**



**Network = a collection of interacting "parts"** 



In its simplest form, a network is a collection of nodes joined by edges

Physics Today Nov '08

### Each Node = Self-Sustained "Oscillator"



Self-sustained Oscillators Can be Synchronized

# **SYNCHRONIZATION**

Individual Self-Sustained "Oscillators"

Interact

Adjust

Share Tempo

Self-Organizing







### **Beyond Synchrony**



#### "Stadium-Wave Signal"

Local Coupling  $\rightarrow$  Signal Propagation

12

# **Hypothesis**



Propagation of a low-frequency climate-signal through a network of atmospheric, Ice, and oceanic self-sustained oscillating indices







#### "Real Time" timeseries: +NHT, -AMO, NAO, NPO, PDO



A 'mess', yet lagged, synchronized relationships suggested, prompting further investigation

#### Random Red-Noise? or Coherent Signal?

-AMO (4y) +NAO (8y) +PDO (4y) +ALPI



•Lagged correlations of multidecadal signal in various indices

•Conclude possibility of signal

•Need tool that detects lagged relationships



Multichannel Singular Spectrum Analysis

**Propagating Signals** 

- 1) Individual Time Series Extended
- 2) Covariance Matrix
- 3) Shared Variability
- 4) Plot means of mode variance

# M-SSA Plots



#### RCs for Modes of Variability



#### RCs for Modes of Variability







Marcia Glaze Wyatt 2012

#### **Eurasian Arctic Shelf Seas**



"West Ice"

Marcia Glaze Wyatt 2012

#### Ocean-Ice-Atmosphere Interactions







#### "Conventional" Proxy Replacements 1900 to 2000



Statistically Significant p<5%

#### Proxy Replacement 1700 to 2000

M-SSA RCs of leading modes one and two



For the interval 1850 to 2000, statistical significance p<5%, but not for longer time series of these proxies, which are inherently 'noisy'.

#### Using Alternate Proxy Data: 1700-2000



See text for explanation of these proxy indices



RC Number	Group	Periodicity	Model	Experiment	Run	Significant with Annual Sampling	Significant with Sampling @ 5y Running Mean	Comments Related to Signal Propagation or Other Behavior
1	single	~70y	CCCMA_cgcm3	20c	1	yes	no	
1,2	pair	bi-annual	CNRM_cm3	20c	1	yes	no	
3	single	~25y	CNRM_cm3	20c	1	yes	no	
3	single	subdecadal	CSIRO_mk3	20c	1	yes	no	
5	single	subdecadal	CSIRO mk3	20c	1	yes	no	
6,7	pair	bi-annual	CSIRO_mk3	20c	1	yes	no	
1	single	~70y	CSIRO_mk3	20c	1	no	yes	
1,2	pair	~35y	*GFDL_2_0	20c	1	marginal	yes	no propagation
1,2	pair	~35	GFDL_2_1	20c	3	no	marginal	no propagation
1	single	100y	IAP_fgoals_1_0_g	20c3m	1	yes	yes	
2,3	pair	biannual	IAP_fgoals_1_0_g	20c3m	1	yes	no	non-stationary behavior
1	single	interannual	MIUB_echo_g	20c	2	yes		
1	single	~60y	MIUB_echo_g	20c	2		yes	
2	single	~60y	MIUB_echo_g	20c	2	yes	no	
3	single	~25y	MIUB_echo_g	20c	2	yes	no	
3	single	~55y	UKMO_hadcm3	20c	1	marginal	no	
1	single	~50y	CNRM_cm3	control	1	no	marginal	
2	single	~25y	CSIRO_mk3	control	1	no	yes	
1	single	~55 to 75y	GFDL_2_0	control	1	n/a	yes	
2	single	~25y	GFDL_2_0	control	1	n/a	yes	

No "Stadium Wave" Signal Detected in CMIP

Marcia Glaze Wyatt 2012

### <u>Summary</u>

- <u>Hypothesis</u>: Low-frequency climate signal propagates across NH
- <u>**Tested</u>** : M-SSA cornerstone of analysis techniques</u>
  - <u>20<sup>th</sup> century Instrumental Data</u>
    - Documentation of Signal
    - Explore Mechanism
  - <u>Proxy Data: 1700-2000</u>
    - Probe History
  - <u>CMIP3 Model-Generated Data: 20thc and pre-industrial</u>
    - Model Reproduction?

#### • <u>Results:</u>

- A statistically significant low-frequency climate signal propagates through network of indices 20thc
  - Ocean-ice-atmospheric coupling
- Proxies show signal: 1850 (significant) and to 1700 (with statistical uncertainty)
- Models do not reproduce signal

#### Interpretation/Thoughts

- <u>Step One 20<sup>th</sup> Century Instrumental Data</u>
  - Statistics can not "prove".
  - Need mechanism.
  - Literature support for "links"
    - Highlight deep, interactive ocean
    - COA position, migration
    - Western-boundary currents/extensions

#### Step Two: 1700-200 Proxy Data

- Not statistically significant prior to 1850:
  - Could mean no signal
  - Could mean proxy data too noisy
- <u>Step Three: model-generated Data</u>
  - No signal with statistical significance, frequency, or propagation characteristics of stadium-wave signal
    - Critical links not well-modeled:
      - COAs
      - Sea-ice, especially motion and export
      - Western-boundary currents

# **Outstanding Questions:**

- What explains the signal's absence of statistical significance in proxy data prior to1850?
- Does sea ice influence the climate signal's sensitivity?
- Why do models not simulate the signal?

#### Signal Propagation & Synchronized Networks



THE END

Marcia Glaze Wyatt 2012

Miscellaneous Extras follow

#### **Channel-Fraction of Raw-Index Variance**



How much variability in an index can be "explained" by the M-SSA signal?



7 Indices added to Index Network

Note: infill of gapped data via MSSA.

See text.

40

# **Running Conclusion**

(Step One: 2<sup>nd</sup> order analysis)

#### <u>Statistical Results</u>

- Climate signal documented
- Significance 95%

### Speculation

- Tempo
- Feedback

### <u>Cautionary Note</u>

- <u>Next Step</u>:
  - Explore Mechanism





Channel-Fraction Variance of Select Indices from Original plus Arctic Variables and Proxies

Marcia Glaze Wyatt 2012

#### Running Conclusion: (Step One: 3<sup>rd</sup> order analysis)

#### Eurasian Arctic Sea Ice

- Relationship with Atlantic
- Relationship with Winds

### ITCZ Migrations

- Max NHT, Min Sea Ice, North ITCZ
- Min NHT, Max Sea Ice, South ITCZ

### Pacific feedback to Atlantic

Pacific Anomaly Trend and AMO

### Next Step:

Probe History

# **Running Conclusion**

(Step Two: 3<sup>rd</sup> order analysis)

- 20thc stadium wave
  - All proxies
- <u>1850-2000</u>
  - Significant (not shown)
- Prior to 1850
  - "Signal", yet amplitude, frequency modifications
  - Significance not identified
    - No signal? Or diminished quality of proxy data? Or other?
- <u>Next Step</u>:
  - Model-Data Simulations

# **Running Conclusion**

(Step Three: 2<sup>nd</sup> order analysis)

- No stadium wave signal in Model Data
- Speculation on reason
  - Signal could be random
  - Models could have deficiencies
    - Sea-ice
    - COAs
    - Western-boundary currents